



7th Seminar of the European Society of Contraception  
 September 12 - 13, 2003  
 Budapest, Hungary

CONTRACEPTIVE PRACTICE IN EUROPE:  
 DIFFERENCES IN AVAILABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY

## EVALUATION OF THE 7th ESC SEMINAR: RESULTS

77 participants out of a total of 162 filled in the evaluation form. They were asked to rate the items detailed here under as following:

5 = excellent      4 = good      3 = average      2 = below average      1 = poor

### EVALUATION RESULTS

#### 1. Overall organisation

|                          | Average rate | N° of answers |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Welcome & registration   | 4,6          | 72            |
| Simultaneous translation | 4,2          | 35            |
| Website information      | 4,5          | 63            |
| Lunches & coffee breaks  | 4,3          | 70            |
| Seminar location         | 4,7          | 72            |
| Meeting rooms            | 4,6          | 72            |
| Poster viewing sessions  | 4,3          | 64            |

#### 2. Plenary sessions

*Accessibility & Acceptability of Contraceptive Methods in Europe, Fri. 12 Sept, 13:20-14:30*

|                                 | Speaker      | Average rate | N° of answers |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Contraceptives in Europe, a & a | M. Lech      | 4,4          | 74            |
| Should OC be OTC?               | A. Yeshaya   | 4,0          | 76            |
| What about men?                 | I. Savelieva | 3,9          | 76            |
| Enforced sterilisation?         | J.J. Amy     | 4,4          | 77            |
| Community based services        | S. Ozalp     | 4,1          | 73            |
| Discussion                      |              | 4,2          | 65            |

*Accessibility & Acceptability of Abortions in Europe, Friday 12 Sept, 14:30-15:20*

|  | Speaker      | Average rate | N° of answers |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Abortions in Europe, a & a                                   | B. Pinter    | 4,5          | 75            |
| Characteristics and history of women having repeat abortions | I. Savelieva | 4,0          | 76            |
| Medical abortions at home?                                   | E. Aubény    | 4,5          | 76            |
| Discussion   |              | 4,3          | 67            |

*Accessibility & Acceptability of Emergency Contraception in Europe, Saturday 13 Sept, 08:30-09:40*

|  | Speaker    | Average rate | N° of answers |
|--|------------|--------------|---------------|
| EC in Europe, a & a                            | E. Aubény  | 4,5          | 76            |
| Reduction of abortions following EC?           | D. Apter   | 4,4          | 76            |
| EC use by teenagers                            | S. Jones   | 4,3          | 76            |
| EC in Hungary                                  | G. Bartfai | 4,3          | 76            |
| Strategies used to enhance acceptability of EC | M. Lech    | 4,5          | 75            |
| Discussion                                     |            | 4,3          | 64            |

*Accessibility & Acceptability to STI testing in Europe, Saturday 13 Sept, 09:40-10:30*

|   | <i>Speaker</i> | <b>Average rate</b> | <b>N° of answers</b> |
|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| STI testing in Europe, a & a                            | I. Sziller     | 4,5                 | 66                   |
| The advantage of a fully integrated service             | A. Bigrigg     | 4,5                 | 66                   |
| Should STI testing be available at the same time as EC? | A. Webb        | 4,5                 | 68                   |
| Discussion  |                | 4,4                 | 60                   |

*Other, Saturday 13 Sept, 12:45-13:00*

|  | <i>Speaker</i> | <b>Average rate</b> | <b>N° of answers</b> |
|--|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| What is an "ongoing discussion group on line" and how can it work? | R. Beerthuizen | 4,4                 | 48                   |

3. Workshops

| <i>Friday 12 Sept, 15:45-17:30</i>                                       | <i>Chair</i>                  | <b>Average rate</b> | <b>N° of answers</b> |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1. How do we reach those who don't access standard services?             | V. Bruni<br>A. Nandor         | 4,5                 | 31                   |
| 2. Does cost affect availability and accessibility?                      | A. Szilagyi<br>A. Borsos      | 3,9                 | 25                   |
| 3. Post abortion contraception, does it affect the repeat abortion rate? | O. Loeber<br>I. Batar         | 4,6                 | 40                   |
| 4. Does the method of abortion affect acceptability?                     | A. Pretnar-Darovec<br>R. Poka | 4,4                 | 21                   |
| Reports from the workshops   | S. Skouby                     | 4,4                 | 32                   |

| <i>Saturday 13 Sept, 11:00-12:45</i>                               | <i>Chair</i>                 | <b>Average rate</b> | <b>N° of answers</b> |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Are there any disadvantages to EC being available OTC?          | V. Unzeitig<br>Gy. Apro      | 4,6                 | 30                   |
| 2. Why do some people not use effective contraception?             | K. Petersen<br>L. Bencsik    | 4,5                 | 34                   |
| 3. Can good contraception be offered without STI testing?          | A. Verougstraete<br>J. Bodis | 4,6                 | 28                   |
| 4. Does encouraging use of barriers decrease the incidence of STI? | A. Bigrigg<br>G. Meszaros    | 4,5                 | 25                   |
| Reports from the workshops   | G. Creatsas                  | 4,5                 | 32                   |

4. Did the 7th ESC Seminar fulfil your expectations?

|            | <b>Rate</b> | <b>N° of answers</b> |
|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Yes        | 87%         | 67                   |
| No         | 1,3%        | 1                    |
| No opinion | 3,9%        | 3                    |
| No answer  | 7,8%        | 6                    |

5. Your suggestions/remarks: (20 respondents)

- what happens next with recommendations?
- e-mail addresses of participants should be communicated via participants list (2x this response)
- e-mail addresses and other contact details of speakers should be communicated
- authors of posters have to be next to their poster during breaks in case of questions
- chairman spoke too much, chairing should be tighter, speakers tend to overrun their time (3x this response)
- spend more time in the discussions sessions
- expenses of participants from developing countries should be taken more into account, some professionals could perhaps share their expenses with others?
- seminar is very important and brings new information
- a seminar is better than a congress
- excellent scientific and cultural programme, very good seminar, to continue (6x this response)
- thank you (6x this response)
- congratulations on driving up the standard of audio-visual presentations
- food & hotel: excellent, wine during boat dinner: poor